APR 29 1966

C.NA. Limits Agent's Testimony in Slander Suit

Sends 5 Lawyers to Federal Court in Successful Effort do Maintain Secrécy

. 17

By BEN A. FRANKUN Special to The New York Times

BALTIMORE, April 27-The Central Intelligence Agency dispatched five Washington law-pers to the Federal District Court here today to close the door of legal discovery on the agency's clandestine operations in this country. The lawyers

succeded.

In a confused, three-hour hearing before Chief Judge Roszel C. Thomsen, the Government attorneys repreatedly re-moved a C.I.A. agent from the witness stand and conferred with frim privately before permitting him to answer ques-tions Many questions they de-clined to let him answer at all.

Income half-hour period, the lawyers twice took the agent, ranking officials behind an privileged role as a Government Juri Raus, from the stand and opaque barrier of anonymity official, acting on official orders.

Reply Surprises Lawyers





Juri Raus, left, and Eerik Heine leaving the courthouse

July Raus, from the stand and opaque barrier of anonymity official, acting on official orders. Thousen's chambers for a total of more than 15 minutes. These two general counsel, two generances were held to clear, his answer in court to a secrecy umpire during the single, question about his conquestioning of Mr. Raus for a tacts, with the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

But today the agency disparted an agency affidavit, the fourth filed here since January, signed by Adm. William F. Raborn, disparted the federal Bureau deposition in the \$110,000 civil slander suit against him.

Bully Surgician Lauvare.

\$110,000 Asked in Suit

Reply Surprises Lawyers

Refriring to the witness chair, Mr. Raus nonetheless too his lawyers by surprise by replying? "Yess" to a question and Canada, filed the suit after they said they had expected him to answer "No." At that point, a Soviet agent. The defence another five-minute out-of-cour contends Mr. Raus was acting conference was called.

That slip-in however, ap-

tion that Mr. Raus had been

conternee was called.

The slip-up however, appeared to have provided no significant information.

At another point, one of the five lawyers, Kevin T. Maroney of the Internal, Security Division of the Justice Department, objected to Mr. Raus's answering a question posed by Paul R. Connolly, a private lawyer working with the C.I.A. to defend Mr. Raus. The objection was shstained by Judge Thomsen to challenge the intelligence agency normally keeps all but its two top-Mr. Heine had been made in his 13.